

”THE UNIVERSE OF FLUCTUATIONS”

B.G. Sidharth

Centre for Applicable Mathematics & Computer Sciences

B.M. Birla Science Centre, Hyderabad 500 063 (India)

Dedicated to the memory of my parents

Abstract

We discuss a recent model of a Quantum Mechanical Black Hole (QMBH) which describes the most fundamental known particles the leptons and approximately the quarks in terms of the Kerr-Newman Black Hole with a naked singularity shielded by Zitterbewegung effects. This goes beyond the Zitterbewegung and self interaction models of Barut and Bracken, Hestenes, Chacko and others and provides a unified picture which amongst other things gives a rationale for and an insight into:

1. The apparently inexplicable reason why complex space time transformations lead to the Kerr-Newman metric in General Relativity.
2. The value of the fine structure constant.
3. The ratio between electromagnetic and gravitational interaction strengths.
4. The anomalous gyromagnetic ratio for the electron.
5. Why the neutrino is left handed.
6. Why the charge is discrete.

In the spirit of Effective Field Theories, this model provides an alternative formalism for Quantum Theory and also for its combination with General Relativity.

Finally a mechanism for the formation of these QMBH or particles is explored within the framework of Stochastic Electrodynamics, QED and Quantum Statistical Mechanics. The cosmological implications

⁰International Journal of Modern Physics 'A'

are then examined. It turns out that a surprisingly large number of facts, including some which were hitherto inexplicable, follow as a consequence of the model. These include a theoretical deduction of the Mass, Radius and Age of the Universe, as also the values of Hubble's constant and the Cosmological constant.

1 Introduction

In a previous communication¹ it was suggested that a typical elementary particle, the electron can be considered to be what was termed a Quantum Mechanical Black Hole (or QMBH), made up of a relativistic fluid of subconstituents, described by the Kerr-Newman metric giving both its gravitational and electromagnetic fields². It was pointed out that alternatively the QMBH could be described as a relativistic vortex in the hydrodynamical formulation. It was pointed out that the QMBH or vortex could also be thought of as a relativistic rotating shell.

In Section 2 we examine this model which explains several observed facts, while in Section 3 we try to explore the mechanism which triggers off the formation of these QMBH particles. In Section 4 we examine the cosmological implications of the model and again discover that a surprisingly large number of observed facts are neatly explained. Finally in Section 5 we make some comments and observations.

2 Quantum Mechanical Black Holes

If we adhoc treat an electron as a charged and spinning black hole, described by the Kerr-Newman metric, the pleasing fact which emerges is that this metric describes the gravitational and electromagnetic field of an electron including the anomalous gyromagnetic ratio², $g = 2$.

However the horizon of the Kerr-Newman Black Hole becomes in this case complex³,

$$r_{\pm} = \frac{GM}{c^2} + ib, b \equiv \left(\frac{G^2 Q^2}{c^8} + a^2 - \frac{G^2 M^2}{c^4} \right)^{1/2} \quad (1)$$

where G is the gravitational constant, M the mass and $a \equiv L/Mc$, L being the angular momentum. That is, we have a naked singularity apparently contradicting the cosmic censorship conjecture. However, in the Quantum

Mechanical domain, (1) can be seen to be meaningful.

Infact, the position coordinate for a Dirac particle is given by⁴

$$x_i = (c^2 p_i H^{-1} t + a_i) + \frac{i}{2} c \hbar (\alpha_i - c p_i H^{-1}) H^{-1}, \quad (2)$$

where a_i is an arbitrary constant and $c\alpha_i$ is the velocity operator with eigen values $\pm c$. The real part in (2) is the usual position while the imaginary part arises from Zitterbewegung. Interestingly, in both (1) and (2), the imaginary part is of the order of $\frac{\hbar}{mc}$, the Compton wavelength, and leads to an immediate identification of these two equations. We must remember that our physical measurements are gross - they are really measurements averaged over a width of the order $\frac{\hbar}{mc}$. Similarly, time measurements are imprecise to the tune $\sim \frac{\hbar}{mc^2}$. Very precise measurements if possible, would imply that all Dirac particles would have the velocity of light, or in the Quantum Field Theory atleast of Fermions, would lead to divergences. (This is closely related to the non-Hermiticity of position operators in relativistic theory as can be seen from equation (2) itself⁵. Physics begins after an averaging over the above unphysical space-time intervals. In the process as is known (cf.ref.5), the imaginary or non-Hermitian part of the position operator in (2) disappears. That is in the case of the QMBH (Quantum Mechanical Black Hole), obtained by identifying (1) and (2), the naked singularity is shielded by a Quantum Mechanical censor.

To examine this situation more closely we reverse the arguments after equation (2), and consider instead the complex displacement,

$$x^\mu \rightarrow x^\mu + i a^\mu \quad (3)$$

where $a^0 \approx \frac{\hbar}{2mc^2}$, and $a^\mu \approx \frac{\hbar}{mc}$ as before. That is, we probe into the QMBH or the Zitterbewegung region inside the Compton wavelength as suggested by (1) and (2). Remembering that $|a^\mu| \ll 1$, we have, for the wave function,

$$\psi(x^\mu) \rightarrow \psi(x^\mu + i a^\mu) = \frac{a^\mu}{\hbar} [i \hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} + \frac{\hbar}{a^\mu}] \psi(x^\mu) \quad (4)$$

We can identify from (4), by comparison with the well known electromagnetism-momentum coupling, the usual electrostatic charge as,

$$\Phi e = \frac{\hbar}{a^0} = mc^2 \quad (5)$$

In the case of the electron, we can verify that the equality (5) is satisfied. Infact it was shown that from here we can get a rationale for the value of the fine structure constant (cf.ref.1).

We next consider the spatial part of (3), viz.,

$$\vec{x} \rightarrow \vec{x} + i\vec{a}, \text{ where } |\vec{a}| = \frac{\hbar}{2mc},$$

given the fact that the particle is now seen to have the charge e (and mass m). As is well known this leads in General Relativity from the static Kerr metric to the Kerr-Newman metric where the gravitational and electromagnetic field of the particle is given correctly, including the anomalous factor $g = 2$. In General Relativity, the complex transformation (3) and the subsequent emergence of the Kerr-Newman metric has no clear explanation. Nor the fact that, as noted by Newman⁶ spin is the orbital angular momentum with an imaginary shift of origin. But in the above context we can see the rationale: the origin of (3) lies in the QMBH and Zitterbewegung processes inside the Compton wavelength.

However the following question has to be clarified: How can an electron described by the Quantum Dirac spinor (θ_χ) , where θ denotes the positive energy two spinor and χ the negative energy two spinor, be identified with the geometrodynamic Kerr-Newman Black Hole characterised by the curved space time (without any doublevaluedness, cf.ref.2).

We observe that as is well known,⁷ at and within the Compton wavelength it is the negative energy χ that dominates. Further, under reflection, while $\theta \rightarrow \theta$, χ behaves like a psuedo-spinor,

$$\chi \rightarrow -\chi$$

Hence the operator $\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu}$ acting on χ , a density of weight $N = 1$, has the following behaviour⁸,

$$\frac{\partial \chi}{\partial x^\mu} \rightarrow \frac{1}{\hbar} [\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} - N A^\mu] \chi \quad (6)$$

where,

$$A^\mu = \hbar \Gamma_\sigma^{\mu\sigma} = \hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} \log(\sqrt{|g|}) \quad (7)$$

As before we can identify $N A^\mu$ in (6) with the electromagnetic four potential. That $N = 1$, explains the fact that charge is discrete.

In this formulation, electromagnetism arises from the covariant derivative which is the result of the Quantum Mechanical behaviour of the negative energy components of the Dirac spinor at the Compton wavelength scale. We can see at once how an electron can be associated with curvature and how the double connectivity of spin half surfaces in the geometrodynamical formulation. (7) strongly resembles Weyl's formulation for the unification of electromagnetism and gravitation⁹. However it must be noted that the original Christoffel symbol of Weyl contained two independent entities viz. the metric tensor and the electromagnetic potential, so that there was really no unification. In our formulation we have used only the Quantum Mechanical pseudospinor property.

So we could treat the Quantum Mechanical Black Hole as a relativistic fluid of subconstituents (or Ganeshas). In a linearized theory (cf.ref.2) we have

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu} + h_{\mu\nu}, h_{\mu\nu} = \int \frac{4T_{\mu\nu}(t - |\vec{x} - \vec{x}'|, \vec{x}')}{|\vec{x} - \vec{x}'|} d^3x' \quad (8)$$

It was then shown, (cf.ref.1), that not only do we recover the Quantum Mechanical spin but using equation (7) and (8) that for $r = |\vec{x}| \gg |\vec{x}'|$ we get

$$\frac{e'e}{r} = A_o \sim \frac{\hbar c^3}{r} \int \rho \omega d^3x' \sim (Gmc^3) \frac{mc^2}{r} \quad (9)$$

where $e' = 1$ esu corresponds to the charge $N = 1$ and e is the test charge. (9) is correct and infact leads to the well known empirical result,

$$\frac{e^2}{Gm^2} \sim 10^{40}, \quad (10)$$

The above model gives a rationale for the left handedness of the neutrino, which can be treated as an electron with vanishing mass so that the Compton wavelength becomes arbitrarily large. For such a particle, we encounter in effect the region within the Compton wavelength with the pseudo spinorial property discussed above, that is left handedness.

Finally it may be remarked that the electron, the positron and its special case the neutrino are the fundamental elementary particles which could be used to generate the mass spectrum of elementary particles¹⁰.

We now briefly examine why the Compton wavelength emerges as a fundamental length. Our starting point could be the Dirac or Klein-Gordon

equations. For simplicity we consider the Klein-Gordon equation. It is well known that the position operator is given by⁵

$$\vec{X}_{op} = \vec{x}_{op} - \frac{i\hbar c^2}{2} \frac{\vec{p}}{E^2} \quad (11)$$

(The Dirac equation also has a similar case).

We saw in (ref.1) that the imaginary part in equation (11) which makes $\vec{X}_{op}$ non-Hermitian, and for the Dirac particle gives Zitterbewegung disappears on averaging over intervals $\Delta t \sim \frac{\hbar}{mc^2}$ (and $\Delta r \sim \frac{\hbar}{mc}$) so that $\vec{X}_{op}$ becomes Hermitian (this is also the content of the Foldy-Wouthuysen transformation). Our physics, as pointed out begins after such an average or Hermitization. Our measurement in other words are necessarily gross to this extent - we will see this more clearly. From equation (11) we now get

$$\hat{X}_{op}^2 \equiv \frac{2m^3 c^4}{\hbar^2} X_{op}^2 = \frac{2m^3 c^6}{\hbar^2} x^2 + \frac{p^2}{2m} \quad (12)$$

Mathematically equation (12) shows that $\hat{X}_{op}^2$ gives a problem identical to the harmonic oscillator with quantized levels: Infact the quantized "space-levels" for $\vec{X}_{op}^2$ turn out to be multiples of $(\hbar/mc)^2$! From here, we get $\Delta t = \frac{\Delta x}{c} = \frac{\hbar}{mc^2}$.

3 The formation of QMBH particles

We now investigate how such QMBH can be formed. For this we digress temporarily to vacuum fluctuations. It is well known that there is a zero point field (ZPF). According to QFT this arises due to the virtual quantum effects of the electromagnetic field already present. Whereas according to what has now come to be called Stochastic Electrodynamics (SED), it is these ZPF that are primary and result in Quantum Mechanical primary effects¹¹. Many Quantum Mechanical effects can indeed be explained this way. Without entering into the debate about the ZPF fluctuations for the moment, we observe that the energy of the fluctuations of the magnetic field in a region of length λ is given by² ($\vec{E}$ and $\vec{B}$ are electromagnetic field strengths)

$$B^2 \sim \frac{\hbar c}{\lambda^4} \quad (13)$$

If λ as in the QMBH is taken to be the Compton wavelength, $\frac{\hbar}{mc}$ (13) gives us for the energy in this volume of the order λ^3 ,

$$\text{Total energy of QMBH} \sim \frac{\hbar c}{\lambda} = mc^2,$$

exactly as required. In other words the entire energy of the QMBH of mass m can be thought to have been generated by the fluctuations alone. Further the fluctuation in curvature over the length l is given by²,

$$\Delta R \sim \frac{L^*}{l^3}, \quad (14)$$

where L^* is the Planck length of the order 10^{-33} cm.s.

For the electron which we consider, l is of the order of the Compton wavelength, that is 10^{-11} cm.s. Substitution in (14) therefore gives

$$\Delta R \sim 1$$

In other words the entire curvature of the QMBH is also generated by these fluctuations. That is the QMBH can be thought to have been created by these fluctuations alone.

Within the framework of QED, we can come to this conclusion in another way¹². It is known that the vacuum energy of the electron field with a cut off k_{max} is given by,

$$\frac{\text{Energy}}{\text{Volume}} \sim \hbar c k_{max}^4 \quad (15)$$

This is the same as equation (13) encountered earlier. Also the infinite energy of the vacuum is avoided by the assumption of the cut off normally taken to be of the order of a typical Compton wavelength on the ground that we do not know that the laws of electromagnetism are valid beyond these high frequencies, that is within these length scales.

But the preceding discussion shows that it is natural to take $k_{max} = \frac{mc}{\hbar}$, the inverse Compton wavelength of the electron. The energy of the electron from equation (15) then comes out to be

$$E \sim mc^2,$$

as before. So we are led to the important conclusion that the infinity of QED is avoided by the fact that QMBH are formed, rather than by the arbitrary

prescription of a cut off. Infact there is a further bonus and justification for the above interpretation. Let us use in (15) the pion Compton wavelength as the cut off. The reason we choose the pion is that it is considered to be a typical elementary particle in the sense that it plays a role in the strong interactions, and further it could be used as a building block for developing a mass spectrum, and finally as seen in (ref.1) can be considered to be made up of an electron and a positron. Then from (15) we can recover the pion mass, m_π and moreover,

$$Nm_\pi = M, \quad (16)$$

where N is the number of elementary particles, typically pions, $N \sim 10^{80}$ and M is the mass of the universe, viz. $10^{56} gms$.

In other words, in our interpretation we have not only avoided the QED infinity but have actually recovered the mass of the universe. We will return to this point shortly.

We now consider the same scenario from a third point of view, viz. from the standpoint of Quantum Statistical Mechanics. Here also the spirit is that of randomness¹³. A state can be written as

$$\psi = \sum_n c_n \phi_n, \quad (17)$$

in terms of basic states ϕ_n which could be eigen states of energy for example, with eigen values E_n . It is known that (17) can be written as

$$\psi = \sum_n b_n \phi_n \quad (18)$$

where $|b_n|^2 = 1$ if $E < E_n < E + \Delta$, and $= 0$ otherwise under the assumption

$$\overline{(c_n, c_m)} = 0, n \neq m \quad (19)$$

(Infact n could stand for not a single state but for a set of states n_i and so also m). Here the bar denotes a time average over a suitable interval. This is the well known Random Phase Axiom and arises due to the total randomness amongst the phases c_n . Also the expectation value of any operator O is given by

$$\langle O \rangle = \sum_n |b_n|^2 (\phi_n, O \phi_n) / \sum_n |b_n|^2 \quad (20)$$

(18) and (20) show that effectively we have incoherent states $\phi_1, \phi_2, \dots$ once averages over time intervals for the phases c_n in (19) vanish owing to their

relative randomness.

In the light of the preceding discussion of random fluctuations in the context of QMBH in SED and QED, we can interpret the above meaningfully: We can identify ϕ_n with the ZPF. The time averages are the Zitterbewegung averages over intervals $\sim \frac{\hbar}{mc^2}$. We then get disconnected or incoherent particles or QMBH from a single background of vacuum fluctuations exactly as before. The incoherence arises because of the well known random phase relation (19) that is after averaging over the suitable interval.

But in all of the above considerations, and in present day theory the question that comes up is: How can we reconcile the fact that the various particles in the universe are not infact incoherent but rather occupy a single coherent space-time. The answer which can now be seen to emerge in the light of the above discussion is that all these particles are linked by interaction. These interactions as pointed out in (ref.1) arise within the Compton wavelength or Zitterbewegung region, that is in phenomena within the time scale $\frac{\hbar}{mc^2}$. It will be observed in the above discussion that at these time scales the equation (19) is no longer valid and we have to contend with equation (17) rather than equation (18). So interactions arising within the Compton wavelength link or make coherent the various particles.

Infact all this is perfectly in tune with the QFT picture wherein the interactions are caused by virtual particles with life time less than $\frac{\hbar}{mc^2}$. It may also be observed that in Wheeler's Geometrodynamical model¹⁴, the various particles are linked by exactly such wormholes linking distant regions.

In the above formulation we could take ϕ_n to be the particlets or Ganeshas instead of energy eigen states, that is to be position eigen states and consider sets

$$\overline{(c_{n_i}, c_{m_j})} = 0,$$

exactly as before (cf.(19)). Each set ϕ_{n_i} defines a particle P_n consisting of n_i Ganeshas or particlets. It is the link at $\Delta t < \hbar/mc^2$ between P_n and P_m which puts otherwise incoherent particles into a single space-time, that is allows interactions.

In other words, a set of particles can be said to be in the same space-time if every particle interacts with atleast one other member of the set.

For completeness we mention that the above bunching could be carried out in principle for two or more universes. Thus a set of particles constitutes universe U_1 while another set of particles constitute an incoherent universe

U_2 . Again the incoherence can be broken at a suitable time scale (cf.ref.15 for a pictorial model in terms of wormholes).

There is another way of looking at all this. We first note that the space-time symmetry of relativity has acquired a larger than life image. Infact our perception of the universe is essentially one of all space (or as much of it as possible) at one instant of time (cf.also ref.2). Further, time is essentially an ordering or sequencing of events. To understand time we must know on what basis this ordering is done so that causality and other laws of physics hold or in other words we have the universe of the physical hyperboloid.

We now approach this problem by trying to liberate the sequence of events in time from any ordering at all. At first sight it would appear that this approach would lead to a chaotic universe without physics that is causality, interaction and so on. We will actually try to attempt to explain the emergence of physics from such a, what may be called pre-space-time scenario. It must be noted that both Special and General Relativity work in a deterministic space-time. Even relativistic Quantum Mechanics and Quantum Field Theory assume the space-time of Special Relativity. Quantum Gravity on the other hand which has not yet proved to be a completely successful theory questions this concept of space-time¹⁶.

While a random time sequence is ruled out at what may be called the macro level, in our case above the Compton wavelength, within the framework of QMBH and as seen above this is certainly possible below the Compton wavelength scale. Infact this is the content of non locality and non Hermiticity of the Zitterbewegung in the region of QMBH.

So we start with truly instantaneous point particles or particlets (or Ganeshas) which are therefore indistinguishable, (cf. ref.1) (and could be denoted by ϕ_n of (17)). We then take a random sequence of such particlets¹³. Such a sequence for the interval $\Delta t \sim \frac{\hbar}{mc^2}$ in time collectively constitutes a particle that has come into existence and is spread over a space interval of the order of the Compton wavelength. In other words we have made a transition from pre- space-time to a particle in space-time. This is exactly the averaging over random phases in equation (19). Hermiticity of position operators has now been restored and we are back with the states ϕ_n in equation (18). All this is in the spirit that our usual time is such that, with respect to it vaccuum fluctuations are perfectly random as pointed by Macrea¹⁷. So the subconstituents of the relativistic fluid given in (8) (or the Quantized Vortex in the hydrodynamical formulation (cf. ref.1)), are precisely these particlets.

To visualize the above consideration in greater detail we first consider strictly point particles obtained by taking the random sequences over time intervals $\sim \frac{\hbar}{mc^2}$. We consider an assembly of such truly point particles which as yet we cannot treat either with Fermi-Dirac or Bose-Einstein statistics but rather as a Maxwell-Boltzman distribution. If there are N such particles in a volume V , it is known that¹³, the volume per particle is of the order of,

$$\left(\frac{V}{N}\right)^{1/3} \sim \lambda_{thermal} \approx \frac{\hbar}{\sqrt{m^2 c^2}} = \frac{\hbar}{mc},$$

where we take the average velocity of each particle to be equal to c . Infact, this is exactly what happens, as Dirac pointed out (cf. ref.4), for a truly hypothetical point electron, in the form of Zitterbewegung within the Compton wavelength.

So the Compton wavelength arises out of the (classical) statistical inability to characterise a point particle precisely: It is not that the particle has an extension per se. In this sense the Compton wavelength has a very Copenhagen character, except that it has been deduced on the basis of an assembly of particles rather than an isolated particle.

4 The Universe of Fluctuations

The question that arises is, what are the cosmological implications of the above scenario, that is, if we treat the entire universe as arising from fluctuations, is this picture consistent with the observed universe? It turns out that not only is there no inconsistency, but on the contrary a surprising number of correspondences emerge.

The first of these is what we have encountered a little earlier viz. the fact that we recover the mass of the universe as in equation (16).

We can next deduce another correspondence. The ZPF gives the correct spectral density viz.

$$\rho(\omega) \propto \omega^3$$

and infact the Planck spectrum¹⁸. We then get the total intensity of radiation from the fluctuating field due to a single star as¹¹,

$$I(r) \propto \frac{1}{r^2}$$

It then follows that given the observed isotropy and homogeneity of the universe at large, as is well known,

$$M \propto R, \quad (21)$$

where R is the radius of the universe.

Equation (21) is quite correct and infact poses a puzzle, as is well known and it is to resolve this dependence that dark matter has been postulated¹⁹ whereas in our formulation the correct mass radius dependence has emerged quite naturally without any other adhoc postulates.

As we have seen above the Compton wavelength of a typical particle, the pion viz l_π can be given in terms of the volume of uncertainty. However in actual observation there is an apparent paradox. If the universe is n dimensional then we should have,

$$N l_\pi^n \sim R^n$$

for the universe itself. This relation is satisfied with $n = 2$ in which case we get a relation that has been known empirically viz.,

$$l_\pi \sim \frac{R}{\sqrt{N}}$$

(Even Eddington had used this relation).

So in conjunction with (16) we have an apparent paradox where the actual universe appears to be two dimensional. This will be resolved shortly and it will be seen that there is no contradiction.

Another interesting consequence is as follows: According to our formulation the gravitational potential energy of a pion in a three dimensional isotropic sphere of pions is given by

$$\frac{G m_\pi M}{R}$$

This should be equated with the energy of the pion viz. $m_\pi c^2$. We then get,

$$\frac{GM}{c^2} = R, \quad (22)$$

a well known and observationally correct relation. In our formulation we get m_π from the ZPF and given N we know M so that from equation (22) we can deduce the correct radius R of the universe.

Proceeding further we observe that the fluctuations in the particle number N itself is of the order $\sqrt{N}^{13,20}$. Also Δt above is the typical fluctuating time. So we get,

$$\frac{dN}{dt} = \frac{\sqrt{N}}{\Delta t} = \frac{m_\pi c^2}{\hbar} \sqrt{N}$$

whence as $t = 0, N = 0$,

$$\sqrt{N} = \frac{2m_\pi c^2}{\hbar} . T \quad (23)$$

where T is the age of the universe $\approx 10^{17} \text{secs}$. It is remarkable that equation (23) is indeed correct. One way of looking at this is that not only the radius but also the age of the universe is correctly determined. As we saw before,

$$R = \frac{GM}{c^2} = \frac{GNm_\pi}{c^2}$$

so that

$$\frac{dR}{dt} = \frac{Gm_\pi}{c^2} \frac{dN}{dt} = \frac{Gm_\pi^2}{\hbar} \sqrt{N} = HR \quad (24)$$

where

$$H = \frac{Gm_\pi^3 c}{\hbar^2} \quad (25)$$

One can easily verify that (25) is satisfied for the Hubble constant so that (24) infact gives the Hubble's velocity distance relation.

Furthermore from (25) we deduce that,

$$m_\pi = \left(\frac{\hbar^2 H}{Gc} \right)^{1/3} \quad (26)$$

It is remarkable that equation (26) is known to be true from a purely empirical standpoint²¹. However we have actually deduced it in our formalism. Another way of interpreting equation (26) is that given m_π (and $\hbar, G$ and c) we can actually deduce the value of H in our formalism.

From Equation (24), we deduce that,

$$\frac{d^2 R}{dt^2} = H^2 R \quad (27)$$

That is, effectively there is a cosmic repulsion. Infact, from (27) we can identify the cosmological constant as

$$\Lambda \sim H^2$$

which is not only consistent but agrees exactly with the limit on this constant (cf.ref.2).

The final correspondence is to do with an explanation for the microwave cosmic background radiation within the above framework of fluctuations. It is well known that the fluctuations of the Boltzmann H function for interstellar space is of the order of $10^{-11}secs$ ¹³. These fluctuations can be immediately related to the ZPF exactly as in the case of the Lamb shift (cf.ref.2). So $\frac{\hbar}{mc^2} = 10^{-11}$ or the associated wavelength viz.,

$$\frac{\hbar}{mc} \sim 0.3cms,$$

which corresponds to the cosmic background radiation³. The same conclusion can be drawn from a statistical treatment of interstellar Hydrogen²².

5 Comments

1. We could arrive at equation (13) by a slightly different route (cf.ref.2). We could start with a single oscillator in the ground state described by the wave function

$$\psi(x) = \text{const} \exp[-(m\omega/2\hbar)x^2] \quad (28)$$

which would fluctuate with a space uncertainty of

$$\Delta x \sim (\hbar/m\omega)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{\hbar}{mc}$$

The electromagnetic ZPF could be treated as an infinite collection of independent oscillators and we could recover equation (13).

2. Earlier we skirted the issue whether the ZPF is primary or secondary. We now start either with the ZPF or with the pre-space-time background field of the instantaneous particles (or Ganeshas). We could assign a probability p for them to appear in space-time and the probability $1 - p = q$ for this not to happen. From here we get the probability for N of them to appear as

$$\text{Probability} \propto \exp[-\mu^2 N^2] \quad (29)$$

This immediately ties up with the considerations following from equation (12) (cf.ref.2), if we identify N with x . The justification for this can be

seen by a comparison with $|\psi(x)|^2$ from (28): From (29), the probability is non-negligible if

$$\Delta N \sim \frac{1}{\mu},$$

which turns out to be, from (28),

$$\Delta N \sim \frac{1}{\mu} \approx \frac{\hbar}{mc},$$

the Compton wavelength. Thus once again we conclude from (29) that a probabilistic fluctuational collection of instantaneous particlets from a pre-space-time background shows up as a particle in space-time.

Wheeler considers the algebra of propositions as providing the link between what he terms pre-geometry and geometrodynamics. In our formulation probabilistic fluctuations lead to space-time and physics from pre-space-time.

3. It was pointed out that the equation

$$l_\pi \sim \frac{R}{\sqrt{N}} \tag{30}$$

suggests that the universe is apparently two dimensional. This paradoxical result is consistent with astrophysical data (cf.ref.19). We could resolve the paradox as follows:

We start with the fact that the universe on the average is neutral. Further the fluctuation in the number of electrons is $\sim \sqrt{N}$. So an extra electrostatic potential energy is created which is balanced by (or in our formulation manifests itself as) the energy of the electron itself (cf.ref.20):

$$\frac{e^2 \sqrt{N}}{R} = mc^2$$

which leads to the above relation.

So in the conventional theory, that is in the language of a fixed particle number universe, we would say that the universe is apparently two dimensional. But once we recognise the fluctuations, the universe is really three dimensional. Infact the fundamental equation (10) which was derived purely from the point of view of an isolated particle can also be derived on the basis of a "two dimensional" universe²³.

4. The considerations of the previous section show that there exists, what

may be called a micro-macro nexus: Fundamental constants of Quantum Theory are tied up with constants from macro physics and cosmology. So the universe is holistic. It has a slightly different connotation from the Machian formulation, because the latter deals with a deterministic universe with rigid physical laws.

Infact from (30), (22) and (23), we can deduce that,

$$\frac{2Gm_{\pi}^3c}{\hbar^2} = \frac{1}{T} \quad (31)$$

which is a variant of equation (10), if we replace its right side by $\sqrt{N}$. This may be interpreted as giving e, G, c or $\hbar$ in terms of m_{π} and N . More interestingly, (31) gives the variation of G , or more generally, the left side, with the age of the universe (cf.ref.2 for Dirac's conjecture in this connection).

5. The quantization formula for space following from equation (12), reflects an empirical formula deduced by Chacko²⁴ which can be used to generate a mass spectrum. It also vindicates a close connection between energy and space-time: As pointed out (cf.ref.1) inertial mass arises from the non local Zitterbewegung processes within the Compton wavelength²⁵.

6. Finally we observe that inspite of similarities, the above scenario of fluctuations differs from steady-state cosmology and the C field formulation²⁶.

References

- [1] B.G. Sidharth, "Quantum Mechanical Black Holes:Towards a Unification of Quantum Mechanics And General Relativity", IJPAP, 35, 1997.
- [2] C.W. Misner, K.S. Thorne, and J.A. Wheeler, "Gravitation", W.H. Freeman, San Francisco, 1973, pp448ff, 1200 ff.
- [3] L.Z.Fang and R. Ruffini., "Basic Concepts in Relativistic Astrophysics", World Scientific, Singapore, 1983, p123.
- [4] P.A.M. Dirac, "The Principles of Quantum Mechanics", Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1958, p263.
- [5] S.S. Schweber., "Relativistic Quantum Field Theory", Harper and Row, New York, 1964, p.47.
- [6] E.T. Newman, Journal of Math. Phys., (14), 1, 1973, p102.
- [7] J.D. Bjorken, and S.D. Drell., "Relativistic Quantum Mechanics",
- [8] Sidharth, B.G., Gravitation and Cosmology, 4 (2) (14), 1998. McGraw Hill, New York, 1964, pp24,39.
- [9] H. Lass., "Vector and Tensor Analysis", McGraw Hill Book Co., Tokyo, 1950, p295 ff.
- [10] P.G. Bergman, "An Introduction to the Theory of Relativity", Prentice Hall, New Delhi, 1969, p253.
- [11] A.O. Barut., Surv. High Energy Phys. 1(2), 113, 1980.
- [12] L.De La Pena., "Stochastic Processes applied to Physics...", Ed., B. Gomez, World Scientific, Singapore, 1983, p435ff.
- [13] R.P. Feynman and A.R. Hibbs., "Quantum Mechanics and Path Integrals", McGraw Hill, New York, 1965, p245ff.
- [14] K. Huang, "Statistical Mechanics", Wiley Eastern, New Delhi, 1975, p85ff.

- [15] J.A. Wheeler., "Superspace and the Nature of Quantum Geometrodynamics", Battelles Rencontres, Lectures, Eds., B.S. De Witt and J.A. Wheeler., Benjamin, New York, 1968, p27 ff.
- [16] J.D. Barrow, "Theories of Everything", Vintage, London, 1992.
- [17] B.S. De Witt, Phys. Rev. 160, 1967, p1113.
- [18] W. Macrea., QJRAS, 27(2), 1986, p137 ff.
- [19] T.H. Boyer., Phys Rev., 182(5), 1969, pp1374 ff.
- [20] B.G. Sidharth and A.D. Popova., "Is the Universe Asymptotically Spatially Two Dimensional?", Non.Lin.World (USA), 4, 1997.
- [21] S. Hayakawa, Suppl of PTP Commemorative Issue, 1965, 532 ff.
- [22] S. Weinberg., "Gravitation and Cosmology", John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1972, p62.
- [23] B.G. Sidharth., "Microwave Condensation", B.M. Birla Science Centre, TR BSC-CAMCS-95-05-01b.
- [24] B.G. Sidharth., "The Structure of the Universe in the Spirit of Dirac's Large Number Hypothesis", B.M. Birla Science Centre, TR, BSC-CAMCS-96-10-04.
- [25] T. Chacko, IJ.Th.P, 11(1), 1974, pp1-4.
- [26] B.G. Sidharth., Nonlinear World (USA), 1, 1994, pp403-408.
- [27] J.V. Narlikar, "Introduction to Cosmology", Foundation Books, New Delhi, 1993, pp.238ff.